

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CHANEL, INC., a New York corporation,
Plaintiff,
v.
SUSAN L. RICHARDSON a/k/a
SUSAN HOLMES d/b/a
INSPIRATIONS, d/b/a
INSPIRATIONS, LTD d/b/a
INSPIRATIONS, USA d/b/a
INSPIRATIONSUSA.COM d/b/a
PORTTRENDS.COM and DOES 1-10
Defendants.

Case No. **07-60998**

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

CIV-ZLOCH MAGISTRATE JUDGE

FILED by **W** INTAKE **D.C.**
JUL 17 2007
CLARENCE MADDOX
CLERK U.S. DIST. CT.
S.D. OF FLA. FT. LAUD.

Plaintiff, CHANEL, INC., a New York corporation ("Chanel") sues Defendants, SUSAN L. RICHARDSON a/k/a SUSAN HOLMES d/b/a INSPIRATIONS, d/b/a INSPIRATIONS, LTD d/b/a INSPIRATIONS, USA d/b/a INSPIRATIONSUSA.COM d/b/a PORTTRENDS.COM ("Richardson") and DOES 1-10.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This action seeks to enforce 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114, 1116, 1121, and 1125(a). This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because the named Defendant resides and conducts business activities within this District. Furthermore, venue is appropriate since a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this case occurred within this District.

THE PARTIES

2. Chanel is a corporation duly organized under the laws of the State of New York with its principal place of business in the United States located at 9 West 57th Street, New York, New York 10019. Chanel is, in part, engaged in the business of manufacturing and distributing throughout the world, including within this Judicial District, handbags and costume jewelry, including earrings, under the federally registered trademarks CHANEL and CC MONOGRAM (collectively, the "Chanel Marks").

3. Richardson is an individual, upon information and belief, conducting business at 25 Ocean Avenue, P.O. Box 442, Kennebunkport, Maine 04046 and residing at 99 E. Grand Avenue #B, Scarborough, Maine 04074. Richardson uses at least the names "Inspirations," "Inspirations, Ltd.," "Inspirations, USA," "InspirationsUSA.com," and "PortTrends.com." as aliases to operate her business.

4. Upon information and belief, Richardson is directly and personally engaging in the sale of counterfeit products as alleged herein.

5. Defendants Doe 1 through 5 are, upon information and belief, individuals who reside and/or conduct business within this Judicial District. Further, Does 1 through 5 are directly and personally contributing to, inducing, and engaging in the sale of counterfeit products as alleged herein as partners, business associates, collaborators, or suppliers to the named Defendant. Plaintiff is presently unaware of the true names of Does 1 through 5. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint upon discovery of the identities of such defendants.

6. Defendants Doe 6 through 10 are business entities which, upon information and belief, reside and/or conduct business within this Judicial District. Moreover, Does 6 through 10 are, upon information and belief, directly engaging in the sale of counterfeit products as alleged herein as partners, business associates, collaborators, or suppliers to the named Defendant.

Plaintiff is presently unaware of the true names of Does 6 through 10. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint upon discovery of the identities of such defendants.

COMMON FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

7. Chanel is the owner of the following U. S. trademark registrations:

<u>Mark</u>	<u>Reg. No.</u>	<u>Reg. Date</u>
CHANEL	0,612,169	September 13, 1955
CHANEL	0,626,035	May 1, 1956
CHANEL	0,902,190	November 10, 1970
CHANEL	0,955,074	March 3, 1973
CC MONOGRAM	1,314,511	January 15, 1985
CHANEL	1,347,677	July 9, 1985
CC MONOGRAM	1,501,898	August 30, 1988
CC MONOGRAM	1,654,252	August 20, 1991
CC MONOGRAM	1,734,822	November 24, 1992
CC MONOGRAM	3,025,936	December 13, 2005

The Chanel Marks are registered in International Class 14, 16 and 18 and are used in connection with the manufacture and distribution of, among other things, handbags and costume jewelry, including earrings. Additionally, Chanel is owner of the trade dress rights associated with its handbags (the "Chanel Trade Dress"). The Chanel Trade Dress encompasses the overall look, feel, texture, and patterns used by Chanel in connection with the advertisement, marketing, and sale of its genuine goods.

8. The Chanel Marks and Trade Dress have been used in interstate commerce to identify and distinguish Chanel's high quality handbags and costume jewelry, including earrings and other products for an extended period of time.

9. The Chanel Marks and Trade Dress have never been assigned or licensed to any of the Defendants.

10. The Chanel Marks and Trade Dress are symbols of the Plaintiff's quality, reputation, and goodwill and have never been abandoned.

11. Further, Chanel has expended substantial time, money, and other resources developing, advertising, and otherwise promoting the Chanel Marks and Trade Dress. The Chanel Marks qualify as famous marks as that term is used in 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c)(1).

12. Plaintiff has extensively used, advertised, and promoted the Chanel Marks and Trade Dress in the United States in association with the sale of handbags and costume jewelry, including earrings and other goods and has carefully monitored and policed the use of the Chanel Marks and Trade Dress.

13. As a result of the Plaintiff's efforts, members of the consuming public readily identify merchandise bearing the Chanel Marks and Trade Dress as being high quality merchandise sponsored and approved by the Chanel.

14. Accordingly, the Chanel Marks and Trade Dress have achieved secondary meaning as an identifier of high quality products, including handbags and costume jewelry, including earrings and other goods.

15. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant hereto, the Defendants in this action had full knowledge of Chanel's ownership of the Chanel Marks and Trade Dress, including its exclusive right to use and license the Chanel Marks and Trade Dress and the goodwill associated therewith.

16. Chanel has discovered the Defendants are manufacturing, promoting, and otherwise advertising, distributing, selling, and/or offering for sale counterfeit products, including at least handbags and costume jewelry, including earrings bearing trademarks which are exact copies of the Chanel Marks and Trade Dress (the "Counterfeit Goods"). Specifically, upon information and belief, the Defendants are using Chanel's Marks and Trade Dress in the same stylized fashion for different quality goods.

17. Upon information and belief, the Defendants' Counterfeit Goods are of a quality substantially different from Chanel's genuine goods. The Defendants, upon information and belief, are actively manufacturing, promoting, and otherwise advertising, distributing, selling, and/or offering for sale substantial quantities of their Counterfeit Goods with the knowledge that such goods will be mistaken for the genuine high quality products offered for sale by Chanel. The net effect of the Defendants' actions is to confuse consumers who will believe the Defendants' Counterfeit Goods are genuine goods originating from and approved by Chanel.

18. Upon information and belief, the Defendants import and/or manufacture their Counterfeit Goods and advertise those goods for sale to the consuming public. In advertising these products, the Defendants use Chanel's Marks and Trade Dress. Indeed, the Defendants, upon information and belief, misappropriated Chanel's advertising ideas and business styles with respect to Chanel's genuine products. Upon information and belief, the Defendants misappropriated Chanel's advertising ideas in the form of Chanel's Marks and Trade Dress, in part, in the course of Defendants' own advertising activities. The Defendants' acts are the proximate cause of damage to Chanel.

19. Upon information and belief, the Defendants are conducting their counterfeiting activities at least within this Judicial District and elsewhere throughout the United States. Defendants' infringement and disparagement of Chanel's trademark rights are not simply a

misdescription of their goods or a mere failure of the goods to conform to advertised quality or performance. By their activities, the Defendants are defrauding Chanel and the consuming public for their own benefit.

20. The Defendants' use of the Chanel Marks and Trade Dress, including the manufacture, importation, promotion, advertising, distribution, sale, and offering for sale of the Counterfeit Goods, is without Chanel's consent or authorization.

21. Further, the Defendants, upon information and belief, may be engaging in the above-described illegal counterfeiting activities knowingly and intentionally, or with reckless disregard or willful blindness to Chanel's rights, for the purpose of trading on the goodwill and reputation of Chanel. If the Defendants' counterfeiting activities are not preliminarily and permanently enjoined by this Court, Chanel and the consuming public will continue to be damaged.

22. The Defendants' infringing activities described above are likely to cause confusion, deception, and mistake in the minds of consumers, the public, and the trade. Moreover, the Defendants' wrongful conduct is likely to create a false impression and deceive customers, the public, and the trade into believing a connection or association exists between the Chanel's genuine goods and the Defendants' Counterfeit Goods.

23. Chanel has no adequate remedy at law.

24. Chanel is suffering irreparable injury and has suffered substantial damages as a result of the Defendants' counterfeiting and infringing activities.

25. The injuries and damages sustained by Chanel are directly and proximately caused by the Defendants' wrongful importation, advertisement, promotion, and sale of their Counterfeit Goods.

26. Chanel has retained the undersigned counsel to represent it in this matter and is obligated to pay a reasonable fee for such representation.

COUNT I - TRADEMARK COUNTERFEITING AND INFRINGEMENT

27. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 26 above.

28. This action is for trademark counterfeiting and infringement against the Defendants based on the Defendants' promotion, advertisement, distribution, sale, and/or offering for sale of the Counterfeit Goods bearing the Chanel Marks.

29. Specifically, the Defendants, upon information and belief, are importing or manufacturing, promoting, and otherwise advertising, selling, offering for sale, and distributing counterfeit and infringing handbags and costume jewelry, including earrings and other goods. The Defendants are continuously infringing and inducing others to infringe the Chanel Marks by using them to advertise, promote, and sell counterfeit handbags and costume jewelry, including earrings and other goods.

30. Defendants' counterfeiting activities are likely to cause and actually are causing confusion, mistake, and deception among members of the trade and the general consuming public as to the origin and quality of Defendants' Counterfeit Goods bearing the Chanel Marks.

31. The Defendants' unlawful actions have caused and are continuing to cause unquantifiable damages to Chanel.

32. Defendants' above-described illegal actions constitute counterfeiting and infringement of the Chanel Marks in violation of Chanel's rights under § 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114.

33. Chanel has suffered and will continue to suffer irreparable injury due to the above described activities of the Defendants if the Defendants are not preliminarily and permanently enjoined.

**COUNT II – FALSE DESIGNATION OF ORIGIN
PURSUANT TO § 43(a) OF THE LANHAM ACT**

34. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 26 above.

35. Defendants' Counterfeit Goods bearing the Chanel Marks have been widely advertised and distributed throughout the United States.

36. The Defendants' Counterfeit Goods bearing the Chanel Marks are virtually identical in appearance to each of the Plaintiff's respective genuine goods. However, the Counterfeit Goods are inferior in quality. Accordingly, the Defendants' activities are likely to cause confusion in the trade and among the general public as to the origin or sponsorship of the Counterfeit Goods.

37. The Defendants, upon information and belief, have used in connection with their sales of Counterfeit Goods false designations of origin and false descriptions and representations, including words or other symbols and trade dress which tend to describe or represent such goods falsely and have caused such goods to enter into commerce possibly with knowledge of the falsity of such designations of origin and such descriptions and representations, all to the detriment of the Plaintiff.

38. Specifically, the Defendants, upon information and belief, authorized and engaged in an infringing use of the Chanel Marks and associated Trade Dress in the Defendants' advertisement and promotion of their counterfeit and infringing handbags and costume jewelry, including earrings. The Defendants, upon information and belief, have misrepresented to members of the consuming public that the Counterfeit Goods being advertised and sold by them are genuine, non-infringing products.

39. The Defendants' above-described actions are in violation of § 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).

40. Plaintiff has sustained injury and damage caused by Defendants' conduct. Absent an entry of an injunction by this Court, the Plaintiff will continue to suffer irreparable injury to its goodwill and business reputation as well as monetary damages.

COUNT III - TRADEMARK DILUTION

41. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 26 above.

42. The Chanel Marks are famous trademarks within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c). The Chanel Marks are advertised and used extensively throughout the United States and the remainder of the world, and are highly recognizable by the trade and the consuming public. Further, the Plaintiff actively polices the use of the Chanel Marks by third parties.

43. The Defendants are engaged in a commercial use of the Chanel Marks in commerce.

44. The Defendants' above-described counterfeiting activities are disparaging, damaging and lessening to the distinctiveness of the Chanel Marks through, at least, blurring and tarnishment of said Marks. Indeed, the Defendants are publishing materials in their advertising which disparage Plaintiff's products by, at least, creating an unfair comparison between Plaintiff's genuine goods and the Defendants' Counterfeit Goods.

45. The Defendants' actions described herein may have been engaged in intentionally or with a reckless disregard for or willful blindness to the Plaintiff's rights for the purpose of trading on the Plaintiff's reputation and diluting the Chanel Marks.

46. As a result of the above described diluting and disparaging activities of the Defendants, the Plaintiff has suffered, and will continue to suffer, irreparable injury and substantial damages, and the Defendants have been unjustly enriched.

COUNT IV – COMMON LAW TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT

47. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 26 above.

48. This action is for trademark infringement against the Defendants based on their promotion, advertisement, distribution, sale, and/or offering for sale of goods bearing marks which are virtually identical, both visually and phonetically, to the Chanel Marks in violation of Chanel's common law trademark rights.

49. Specifically, the Defendants are promoting, and otherwise advertising, selling, offering for sale, and distributing infringing handbags and costume jewelry, including earrings.

50. Defendants' infringing activities are likely to cause and actually are causing confusion, mistake, and deception among members of the trade and the general consuming public as to the origin and quality of Defendants' products by their use of the Chanel Marks.

51. As a result of the above described trademark infringement activities of the Defendants, the Plaintiff has suffered, and will continue to suffer, irreparable injury and substantial damages, and the Defendants have been unjustly enriched.

COUNT V - COMMON LAW UNFAIR COMPETITION

52. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 26 above.

53. This action is for unlawful appropriation of Chanel's exclusive rights in the Chanel Marks and is brought against the Defendants based on their unauthorized manufacture, promotion, advertisement, distribution, sale, and/or offering for sale of goods bearing marks which are virtually identical, both visually and phonetically, to the Chanel Marks in violation of Maine's common law of unfair competition.

54. Specifically, the Defendants are unlawfully importing or manufacturing, promoting, and otherwise advertising, selling, offering for sale, and distributing handbags and costume jewelry, including earrings and other goods bearing the Chanel Marks.

55. Defendants' wrongful activities of unauthorized use of the Chanel Marks and Trade Dress, in attempting to pass off their products as if they are Chanel products in a manner

calculated to deceive members of the trade and the general public, are likely to cause and actually are causing confusion, mistake, and deception among members of the trade and the general consuming public as to the origin and quality of the Defendants' products by their use of the Chanel Marks and Trade Dress.

56. The natural, probable, and foreseeable consequences of the Defendants' wrongful conduct have been and will continue to be the deprivation of the exclusive rights Chanel has in and to its intellectual property.

57. Defendants' wrongful acts of unauthorized use of the Chanel Marks and Trade Dress have and will continue to cause Chanel substantial injury including loss of customers, dilution of its reputation, dilution of its goodwill, confusion of existing and potential customers, loss of its reputation, and diminution of the value of its intellectual property. The harm these wrongful acts cause to Chanel is both imminent and irreparable, and the amount of damage sustained by Chanel will grow even more difficult to ascertain if these acts continue.

58. As a result of the above described wrongful activities of unfair competition by the Defendants, the Plaintiff has suffered, and will continue to suffer, irreparable injury and substantial damages, and the Defendants have been unjustly enriched.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

59. WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff demands judgment jointly and severally against the Defendants as follows:

a. That the Court enter a preliminary and permanent injunction enjoining Defendants, their agents, representatives, servants, employees, and all those acting in concert or participation therewith, from manufacturing or causing to be manufactured, importing, advertising or promoting, distributing, selling or offering to sell their Counterfeit Goods; from infringing, counterfeiting, or diluting the Chanel Marks; from using the Chanel Marks and Trade

Dress or any mark or trade dress similar thereto, in connection with the sale of any unauthorized goods; from using any logo, trade name, trademark, or trade dress which may be calculated to falsely advertise the services or products of the Defendants as being sponsored by, authorized by, endorsed by, or in any way associated with the Plaintiff; from falsely representing themselves as being connected with the Plaintiff, through sponsorship or association, or engaging in any act which is likely to cause members of the trade and/or the purchasing public to believe any goods or services of the Defendants are in any way endorsed by, approved by, and/or associated with the Plaintiff; from using any reproduction, counterfeit, copy, or colorable imitation of the Chanel Marks in connection with the publicity, promotion, sale, or advertising of any goods sold by the Defendants, including, without limitation, handbags and costume jewelry, including earrings and/or any other goods; from affixing, applying, annexing, or using in connection with the sale of any goods a false description or representation, including words or other symbols tending to describe or represent Defendants' goods falsely as being those of the Plaintiff, or in any way endorsed by the Plaintiff, and from offering such goods in commerce; and from otherwise unfairly competing with the Plaintiff.

b. That the Defendants be required to account to and pay Plaintiff for all profits and damages resulting from Defendants' infringing and counterfeiting activities and that the award to Plaintiff be trebled, as provided for under 15 U.S.C. § 1117, or, at Plaintiff's election with respect to Count I, that Chanel be awarded statutory damages from each defendant in the amount of one million (\$1,000,000) dollars per each counterfeit Chanel Mark used and product sold, as provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1117(c)(2) of the Lanham Act.

c. That Plaintiff be awarded punitive damages.

d. That Plaintiff be awarded pre-judgment interest on its judgment.

e. That Plaintiff be awarded at least treble damages as well as its costs and reasonable attorney fees and investigators fees associated with bringing this action.

f. That the Plaintiff be awarded such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DATED this 13th day of July, 2007.

Respectfully submitted,



Stephen M. Gaffigan
Stephen M. Gaffigan, P.A.
312 S.E. 17th Street, Second Floor
Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33316
Telephone: (954) 767-4819
Facsimile: (954) 767-4821
Stephen@smgpa.net

Attorney for Plaintiff, Chanel, Inc.

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.) **NOTICE: Attorneys MUST Indicate All Re-filed Cases Below.**

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS
 Chanel, Inc.

DEFENDANTS
 Susan L. Richardson, et al

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff _____
 (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant _____
 (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)
 Stephen M. Gaffigan/STEPHEN M. GAFFIGAN, P.A.
 312 SE 17th Street, 2nd Floor
 Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33316

Attorneys (if known) **07-60998**

(d) Check County Where Action Arose: MIAMI-DADE MONROE BROWARD PALM BEACH MARTIN ST. LUCIE INDIAN RIVER OKEECHOBEE HIGHLANDS

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

1 U.S. Government Plaintiff 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)

2 U.S. Government Defendant 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

	PTF	DEF		PTF	DEF
Citizen of This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> 4
Citizen of Another State	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	Foreign Nation	<input type="checkbox"/> 6	<input type="checkbox"/> 6

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 610 Agriculture <input type="checkbox"/> 620 Other Food & Drug <input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 630 Liquor Laws <input type="checkbox"/> 640 R.R. & Truck <input type="checkbox"/> 650 Airline Regs. <input type="checkbox"/> 660 Occupational Safety/Health <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other LABOR <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871-880 Third Party	<input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 810 Selective Service <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 892 Economic Stabilization Act <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 894 Energy Allocation Act <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes

FILED by [Signature]
 INTAKE
 JUL 17 2007
 CLARENCE MADDOX
 CLERK U.S. DIST. CT.
 S.D. OF FLA. • FT. LAUD.

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

1 Original Proceeding 2 Removed from State Court 3 Re-filed- (see VI below) 4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transferred from another district (specify) 6 Multidistrict Litigation 7 Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment

VI. RELATED/RE-FILED CASE(S).

a) Re-filed Case YES NO b) Related Cases YES NO

(See instructions second page): JUDGE _____ DOCKET NUMBER _____

VII. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and Write a Brief Statement of Cause (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):
 Trademark infringement pursuant to 15 USC 1114.

LENGTH OF TRIAL via 5 days estimated (for both sides to try entire case)

VIII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 DEMAND \$ _____ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint. JURY DEMAND: Yes No

ABOVE INFORMATION IS TRUE & CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD: *Stephen M Gaffigan* DATE: 7/13/07

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY
 AMOUNT: 360 RECEIPT #: 540496 IFP